

# Green function estimates for second order elliptic operators in non-divergence form

Jieming Wang

(Beijing Institute of Technology)

The 16th International Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics

12-16 July, 2021

Joint work with Professor Zhen-Qing Chen

## Problem:

- Consider the following elliptic operator in non-divergence form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x).$$

- where  $(a_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$  is a symmetric  $d \times d$  matrix-valued **uniformly elliptic** function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and satisfies the **Dini continuity** condition.
- Question:** What are the two-sided estimates of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -Green function  $G_D(x, y)$  on a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain  $D$  as well as its first and second order derivatives estimates?

## Problem:

- Consider the following elliptic operator in non-divergence form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x).$$

- where  $(a_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$  is a symmetric  $d \times d$  matrix-valued **uniformly elliptic** function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and satisfies the **Dini continuity** condition.
- Question:** What are the two-sided estimates of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -Green function  $G_D(x, y)$  on a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain  $D$  as well as its first and second order derivatives estimates?

## Background: Green function for $\Delta$ on bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain

- Probabilistic definition. The Green function  $G_D^\Delta(x, y)$  is the occupation density of Brownian motion  $W$  on  $D$ . That is, for each  $f \in C_c^\infty(D)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \int_0^{\tau_D} f(W_s) ds = \int_D G_D^\Delta(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

- Analytic definition. Suppose  $D$  is a bounded domain and the boundary  $\partial D$  is regular. Suppose  $\varphi$  is locally Hölder continuous in  $D$ . Then

$$u(x) := G_D^\Delta \varphi(x) = \int_D G_D^\Delta(x, y) \varphi(y) dy$$

is the unique solution of the following Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u &= -\varphi, & \text{in } D \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

## Background: Green function for $\Delta$ on bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain

- Probabilistic definition. The Green function  $G_D^\Delta(x, y)$  is the occupation density of Brownian motion  $W$  on  $D$ . That is, for each  $f \in C_c^\infty(D)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \int_0^{\tau_D} f(W_s) ds = \int_D G_D^\Delta(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

- Analytic definition. Suppose  $D$  is a bounded domain and the boundary  $\partial D$  is regular. Suppose  $\varphi$  is locally Hölder continuous in  $D$ . Then

$$u(x) := G_D^\Delta \varphi(x) = \int_D G_D^\Delta(x, y) \varphi(y) dy$$

is the unique solution of the following Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u &= -\varphi, & \text{in } D \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$ .

- Widman(1967) and Zhao(1986) proved that there is a constant  $C > 1$  depending on  $(d, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for  $x \neq y$  in  $D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C^{-1}}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x-y|}\right) \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x-y|}\right) &\leq G_D^\Delta(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x-y|}\right) \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x-y|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- For each  $y \in D$ ,  $G_D^\Delta(\cdot, y)$  is  $\Delta$ -harmonic in  $D \setminus \{y\}$ . By the classical harmonic function theory,  $G_D^\Delta(x, y)$  is a smooth function for  $(x, y) \in D \times D \setminus \text{diag}$ .

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$ .

- Widman(1967) and Zhao(1986) proved that there is a constant  $C > 1$  depending on  $(d, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for  $x \neq y$  in  $D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C^{-1}}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x-y|}\right) \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x-y|}\right) &\leq G_D^\Delta(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x-y|}\right) \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x-y|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- For each  $y \in D$ ,  $G_D^\Delta(\cdot, y)$  is  $\Delta$ -harmonic in  $D \setminus \{y\}$ . By the classical harmonic function theory,  $G_D^\Delta(x, y)$  is a smooth function for  $(x, y) \in D \times D \setminus \text{diag}$ .

By the derivative estimates of  $\Delta$ -harmonic function in balls, there exists a constant  $C = C(d, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for any  $x \neq y$  in  $D$ ,

$$|\nabla_x G_D^\Delta(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x - y|} \right);$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} G_D^\Delta(x, y) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^d} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x - y|} \right) \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x - y|} \right)^{-1}.$$



## Background: Divergence form elliptic operator

- Let

$$\mathcal{L}^0 f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) f(x).$$

be a uniformly elliptic operator with uniform ellipticity constant  $\lambda_0$ .

- Grüter-Widman(1982) proved that when  $D$  is a bounded domain, there exists a unique function  $G_D^0(x, y)$  such that for each  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$ ,

$$-(\mathcal{L}^0 G_D^0(\cdot, y), \phi) = \phi(y).$$

Moreover,

$$G_D^0(x, y) \leq C(d, \lambda_0) |x - y|^{2-d}, \quad x \neq y \in D.$$

## Background: Divergence form elliptic operator

- Let

$$\mathcal{L}^0 f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) f(x).$$

be a uniformly elliptic operator with uniform ellipticity constant  $\lambda_0$ .

- Grüter-Widman(1982) proved that when  $D$  is a bounded domain, there exists a unique function  $G_D^0(x, y)$  such that for each  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$ ,

$$-(\mathcal{L}^0 G_D^0(\cdot, y), \phi) = \phi(y).$$

Moreover,

$$G_D^0(x, y) \leq C(d, \lambda_0) |x - y|^{2-d}, \quad x \neq y \in D.$$

- Furthermore, Grüter-Widman(1982) proved when  $D$  satisfies the exterior sphere condition and suppose  $a_{ij}$  satisfies the Dini condition, that is,

$$|a_{ij}(x) - a_{ij}(y)| \leq \ell(|x - y|),$$

where  $\ell(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a monotonically increasing continuous function with  $\ell(0) = 0$  and

$$\int_0^1 \ell(t)/t dt < \infty.$$

Then there is a constant  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for  $x \neq y$  in  $D$ ,

$$G_D^0(x, y) \leq \frac{C^{-1}}{|x - y|^{d-2}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x - y|} \right) \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x - y|} \right)$$

and

$$|\nabla_x G_D^0(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{1-n} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x - y|} \right).$$

- Furthermore, Grüter-Widman(1982) proved when  $D$  satisfies the exterior sphere condition and suppose  $a_{ij}$  satisfies the Dini condition, that is,

$$|a_{ij}(x) - a_{ij}(y)| \leq \ell(|x - y|),$$

where  $\ell(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a monotonically increasing continuous function with  $\ell(0) = 0$  and

$$\int_0^1 \ell(t)/t dt < \infty.$$

Then there is a constant  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for  $x \neq y$  in  $D$ ,

$$G_D^0(x, y) \leq \frac{C^{-1}}{|x - y|^{d-2}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x - y|}\right) \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x - y|}\right)$$

and

$$|\nabla_x G_D^0(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{1-n} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x - y|}\right).$$

## Background: Non-divergence form elliptic operator

- Consider the following uniformly elliptic operator in non-divergence form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x).$$

- Unlike the case of divergence form operators that is uniformly elliptic and bounded, Bauman(1986) showed a counterexample that  $G_D(x, y)$  for a bounded and smooth domain  $D$  may not be bounded by  $c|x - y|^{2-d}$  even when the coefficient  $a_{ij}$  is uniformly continuous.
- When  $a_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  are Hölder continuous, Hueber and Sieveking(1982) proved that the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}$  on bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain is comparable to the Green function of  $\Delta$ .

## Background: Non-divergence form elliptic operator

- Consider the following uniformly elliptic operator in non-divergence form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x).$$

- Unlike the case of divergence form operators that is uniformly elliptic and bounded, Bauman(1986) showed a counterexample that  $G_D(x, y)$  for a bounded and smooth domain  $D$  may not be bounded by  $c|x - y|^{2-d}$  even when the coefficient  $a_{ij}$  is uniformly continuous.
- When  $a_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  are Hölder continuous, Hueber and Sieveking(1982) proved that the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}$  on bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain is comparable to the Green function of  $\Delta$ .

## Background: Non-divergence form elliptic operator

- Consider the following uniformly elliptic operator in non-divergence form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x).$$

- Unlike the case of divergence form operators that is uniformly elliptic and bounded, Bauman(1986) showed a counterexample that  $G_D(x, y)$  for a bounded and smooth domain  $D$  may not be bounded by  $c|x - y|^{2-d}$  even when the coefficient  $a_{ij}$  is uniformly continuous.
- When  $a_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  are Hölder continuous, Hueber and Sieveking(1982) proved that the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}$  on bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain is comparable to the Green function of  $\Delta$ .

- Suppose  $a_{ij}$  satisfies **the Dini condition**, Hwang and S. Kim (2020) proved that there is a non-negative Green function  $G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)$  on  $D \times D \setminus \text{diag}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  on any bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  in the sense that for any  $f \in L^p(D)$  with  $p > d/2$ ,

$$u(x) := G_D^{\mathcal{L}}f(x) = \int_D G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)f(y)dy$$

is in  $W^{2,p}(D) \cap W_0^{1,p}(D)$  and is a strong solution for

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u &= -f & \text{in } D \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$



- Furthermore, Hwang and Kim(2020) proved that

$$G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^{2-d}$$

$$|\nabla_x G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{1-d}$$

Moreover, if  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{2,\text{Dini}}$  domain,

$$|\nabla_x^2 G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-d},$$

where  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D))$ .

### Question:

What are the sharp estimates of  $G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)$  on a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain? What about the first and second derivative estimates?

- Furthermore, Hwang and Kim(2020) proved that

$$G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^{2-d}$$

$$|\nabla_x G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{1-d}$$

Moreover, if  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{2,\text{Dini}}$  domain,

$$|\nabla_x^2 G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-d},$$

where  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D))$ .

### Question:

What are the sharp estimates of  $G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)$  on a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain? What about the first and second derivative estimates?

- Consider the following elliptic operator in non-divergence form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x),$$

- Suppose  $(a_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$  is a symmetric  $d \times d$  matrix-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\lambda_0^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda_0 |\xi|^2.$$

Moreover,  $a_{ij}(x)$  satisfies the  $\ell$ -Dini continuity condition.

- Consider the following elliptic operator in non-divergence form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x),$$

- Suppose  $(a_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$  is a symmetric  $d \times d$  matrix-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\lambda_0^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda_0 |\xi|^2.$$

Moreover,  $a_{ij}(x)$  satisfies the  $\ell$ -Dini continuity condition.

- Since  $A(x)$  is uniformly continuous, bounded and uniformly elliptic, the martingale problem for  $(\mathcal{L}, C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is well posed and its solution forms a conservative strong Markov process  $X$  that has continuous sample paths and strong Feller property.
- We call  $G_D(x, y)$  is a Green function of  $X$  in  $D$ , or equivalently, of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $D$ , if for any non-negative  $f \in C_c(D)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{\tau_D} f(X_s) ds \right] = \int_D G_D(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for every } x \in D.$$

- Since  $A(x)$  is uniformly continuous, bounded and uniformly elliptic, the martingale problem for  $(\mathcal{L}, C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is well posed and its solution forms a conservative strong Markov process  $X$  that has continuous sample paths and strong Feller property.
- We call  $G_D(x, y)$  is a Green function of  $X$  in  $D$ , or equivalently, of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $D$ , if for any non-negative  $f \in C_c(D)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{\tau_D} f(X_s) ds \right] = \int_D G_D(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for every } x \in D.$$

## Theorem (Chen-W., 2021):

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . There is a unique jointly continuous non-negative function  $G_D(x, y) = G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)$  on  $D \times D \setminus \text{diag}$  of the process  $X$ . Moreover, there exist  $K_i = K_i(d, \lambda_0, \ell, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D)) > 1, i = 1, 2, 3$  such that

(i)

$$K_1^{-1} G_D^{\Delta}(x, y) \leq G_D(x, y) \leq K_1 G_D^{\Delta}(x, y);$$

(ii)

$$|\nabla_x G_D(x, y)| \leq \frac{K_2}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x - y|} \right);$$

(iii)

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} G_D(x, y) \right| \leq \frac{K_3}{|x - y|^d} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)}{|x - y|} \right) \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)}{|x - y|} \right)^{-1}.$$

## Step 1: Identification of $G_D(x, y) = G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)$

By Hwang-Kim(2020), for each  $f \in L^q(D)$  with  $q > d$ ,

$$u(x) := G_D^{\mathcal{L}}f(x) = \int_D G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y)f(y) dy$$

is in  $W^{2,q}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$  and is a strong solution of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u &= -f & \text{in } D; \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$



Let  $f \in C_c(D)$  and  $u(x) := G_D^{\mathcal{L}}f(x)$  Let  $u_\varepsilon = u * \psi_\varepsilon$  be the mollifier of  $u$ . By Ito's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_x \left[ u_\varepsilon(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_{\varepsilon_0}}}) \right] - u_\varepsilon(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_{\varepsilon_0}}} \mathcal{L}u_\varepsilon(X_s) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_{\varepsilon_0}}} -f * \psi_\varepsilon(X_s) ds \right], x \in D_{\varepsilon_0}.\end{aligned}$$

By taking  $t \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and letting  $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$G_D^{\mathcal{L}}f(x) = u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{\tau_D} f(X_s) ds \right], \quad x \in D.$$

Then

$$G_D(x, y) = G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y).$$

Let  $f \in C_c(D)$  and  $u(x) := G_D^{\mathcal{L}}f(x)$  Let  $u_\varepsilon = u * \psi_\varepsilon$  be the mollifier of  $u$ . By Ito's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ u_\varepsilon(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_{\varepsilon_0}}}) \right] - u_\varepsilon(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_{\varepsilon_0}}} \mathcal{L}u_\varepsilon(X_s) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_{\varepsilon_0}}} -f * \psi_\varepsilon(X_s) ds \right], x \in D_{\varepsilon_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $t \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and letting  $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$G_D^{\mathcal{L}}f(x) = u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^{\tau_D} f(X_s) ds \right], \quad x \in D.$$

Then

$$G_D(x, y) = G_D^{\mathcal{L}}(x, y).$$

## Step 2: Levi's freezing coefficient method

Define for each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(z)} := \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

For each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , denote by  $G_D^{(z)}$  the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}^{(z)}$  in  $D$ . We search for Green function  $G_D(x, y)$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $D$  of the following form:

$$G_D(x, y) = G_D^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_D G_D^{(z)}(x, z) g_D(z, y) dz$$

for some function  $g_D(x, y)$ .

## Step 2: Levi's freezing coefficient method

Define for each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(z)} := \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

For each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , denote by  $G_D^{(z)}$  the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}^{(z)}$  in  $D$ . We search for Green function  $G_D(x, y)$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $D$  of the following form:

$$G_D(x, y) = G_D^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_D G_D^{(z)}(x, z) g_D(z, y) dz$$

for some function  $g_D(x, y)$ .

## Step 2: Levi's freezing coefficient method

Define for each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(z)} := \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

For each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , denote by  $G_D^{(z)}$  the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}^{(z)}$  in  $D$ . We search for Green function  $G_D(x, y)$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $D$  of the following form:

$$G_D(x, y) = G_D^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_D G_D^{(z)}(x, z) g_D(z, y) dz$$

for some function  $g_D(x, y)$ .

$$G_D(x, y) = G_D^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_D G_D^{(z)}(x, z) g_D(z, y) dz$$

Formally applying  $\mathcal{L}$  on both sides in  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_{\{y\}}(x) &= \mathcal{L}^{(x)} G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) + \mathcal{L}^{(x)} \int_D G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x) g_D(z, y) dy \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{(y)} G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) + (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)}) G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) \\ &\quad + \int_D (\mathcal{L}^{(z)} + (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(z)})) G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x) g_D(z, y) dy \\ &= -\delta_{\{y\}}(x) + (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)}) G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) - g_D(x, y) \\ &\quad + \int_D (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(z)}) G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x) g_D(z, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\delta_{\{y\}}$  stands for the Dirac measure concentrated at  $y$ .

$$G_D(x, y) = G_D^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_D G_D^{(z)}(x, z) g_D(z, y) dz$$

Formally applying  $\mathcal{L}$  on both sides in  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_{\{y\}}(x) &= \mathcal{L}^{(x)} G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) + \mathcal{L}^{(x)} \int_D G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x) g_D(z, y) dy \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{(y)} G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) + (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)}) G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) \\ &\quad + \int_D (\mathcal{L}^{(z)} + (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(z)})) G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x) g_D(z, y) dy \\ &= -\delta_{\{y\}}(x) + (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)}) G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) - g_D(x, y) \\ &\quad + \int_D (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(z)}) G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x) g_D(z, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\delta_{\{y\}}$  stands for the Dirac measure concentrated at  $y$ .

We obtain

$$g_D(x, y) = (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)})G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) \\ + \int_D (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(z)})G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x)g_D(z, y)dy.$$

Setting

$$g_D^{(0)}(x, y) := (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)})G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x),$$

we see that  $g_D(x, y)$  should satisfy the following integral equation

$$g_D(x, y) = g_D^{(0)}(x, y) + \int_D g_D^{(0)}(x, z)g_D(z, y)dz.$$



We obtain

$$g_D(x, y) = (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)})G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x) \\ + \int_D (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(z)})G_D^{(z)}(\cdot, z)(x)g_D(z, y)dy.$$

Setting

$$g_D^{(0)}(x, y) := (\mathcal{L}^{(x)} - \mathcal{L}^{(y)})G_D^{(y)}(\cdot, y)(x),$$

we see that  $g_D(x, y)$  should satisfy the following integral equation

$$g_D(x, y) = g_D^{(0)}(x, y) + \int_D g_D^{(0)}(x, z)g_D(z, y)dz.$$

Applying the above equation recursively, it motives us to define

$$g_D(x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_D^{(k)}(x, y)$$

whenever it converges, where

$$g_D^{(k+1)}(x, y) := \int_D g_D^{(0)}(x, z) g_D^{(k)}(z, y) dz \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.$$

## Lemma 1:

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . There exist constants  $C_k = C_k(d, \lambda_0, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D)) \geq 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , such that for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $x \neq y$  in  $\lambda D$ ,

$$G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-2}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(x)}{|x - y|} \right) \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{|x - y|} \right);$$

$$|\nabla_x G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C_2}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{|x - y|} \right);$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, y) \right| \leq \frac{C_3}{|x - y|^d} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{|x - y|} \right) \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(x)}{|x - y|} \right)^{-1}.$$

## Lemma 2:

There exist positive constants  $\theta = \theta(d, \lambda_0, \ell, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D)) \in (0, 1)$  and  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, \ell, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \theta]$ ,

$$g_{\lambda D}(x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{\lambda D}^{(k)}(x, y)$$

converges absolutely and locally uniformly on  $(\lambda D) \times (\lambda D) \setminus \text{diag}$  with

$$|g_{\lambda D}(x, y)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |g_{\lambda D}^{(k)}(x, y)| \leq C \frac{\ell(|x - y|)}{|x - y|^d} \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{\delta_{\lambda D}(x)} \quad \text{for } x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

# Identification of the Levi's freezing formula

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) = G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- Define

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y) := G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- For each  $C_c^\infty(\lambda D)$  function  $\psi$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi(x) := \int_{\lambda D} \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)\psi(y)dy$  is in  $W_{loc}^{2,p}(\lambda D)$  for any  $p > 1$  and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= -\psi & \text{in } \lambda D \\ \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= 0 & \text{on } \partial(\lambda D). \end{cases}$$

- Once this is established, we can use mollifier and Ito's formula to show that  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  is the occupation density  $G_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  of the diffusion process  $X$  associated with  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\lambda D$ .

# Identification of the Levi's freezing formula

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) = G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- Define

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y) := G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- For each  $C_c^\infty(\lambda D)$  function  $\psi$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi(x) := \int_{\lambda D} \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)\psi(y)dy$  is in  $W_{loc}^{2,p}(\lambda D)$  for any  $p > 1$  and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= -\psi & \text{in } \lambda D \\ \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= 0 & \text{on } \partial(\lambda D). \end{cases}$$

- Once this is established, we can use mollifier and Ito's formula to show that  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  is the occupation density  $G_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  of the diffusion process  $X$  associated with  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\lambda D$ .

# Identification of the Levi's freezing formula

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) = G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- Define

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y) := G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- For each  $C_c^\infty(\lambda D)$  function  $\psi$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi(x) := \int_{\lambda D} \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)\psi(y)dy$  is in  $W_{loc}^{2,p}(\lambda D)$  for any  $p > 1$  and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= -\psi & \text{in } \lambda D \\ \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= 0 & \text{on } \partial(\lambda D). \end{cases}$$

- Once this is established, we can use mollifier and Ito's formula to show that  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  is the occupation density  $G_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  of the diffusion process  $X$  associated with  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\lambda D$ .

# Identification of the Levi's freezing formula

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) = G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- Define

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y) := G_{\lambda D}^{(y)}(x, y) + \int_{\lambda D} G_{\lambda D}^{(z)}(x, z) g_{\lambda D}(z, y) dz, \quad x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

- For each  $C_c^\infty(\lambda D)$  function  $\psi$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi(x) := \int_{\lambda D} \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)\psi(y)dy$  is in  $W_{loc}^{2,p}(\lambda D)$  for any  $p > 1$  and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= -\psi & \text{in } \lambda D \\ \tilde{G}_{\lambda D}\psi &= 0 & \text{on } \partial(\lambda D). \end{cases}$$

- Once this is established, we can use mollifier and Ito's formula to show that  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  is the occupation density  $G_{\lambda D}(x, y)$  of the diffusion process  $X$  associated with  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\lambda D$ .



## Green function estimates on small bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain

By Levi's freezing formula, we obtain

### Lemma:

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . There exist positive constants  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, \ell, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D))$  and  $\theta = \theta(d, \lambda_0, \ell, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \theta]$ ,

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{d-2}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{|x - y|} \right) \quad \text{on } (\lambda D) \times (\lambda D) \setminus \text{diag}$$

and for any  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) \geq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{d-2}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{|x - y|} \right) \quad \text{on } |x - y| \leq \gamma \delta_{\lambda D}(x).$$

## Green function estimates on small bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain

By Levi's freezing formula, we obtain

### Lemma:

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . There exist positive constants  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, \ell, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D))$  and  $\theta = \theta(d, \lambda_0, \ell, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \theta]$ ,

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{d-2}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{|x - y|} \right) \quad \text{on } (\lambda D) \times (\lambda D) \setminus \text{diag}$$

and for any  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,

$$G_{\lambda D}(x, y) \geq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{d-2}} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\delta_{\lambda D}(y)}{|x - y|} \right) \quad \text{on } |x - y| \leq \gamma \delta_{\lambda D}(x).$$

## Boundary decay rate of $G_D(x, y)$ in $x$

- For each subdomain  $V$  with  $D \setminus \bar{V} \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$G_D(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_x [G_D(X_{\tau_V}, y); \tau_V < \tau_D] \quad \text{for every } x \in V \quad \text{and} \quad y \in D \setminus \bar{V}.$$

- By the test function argument in Chen-Kim-Song-Vondracek(2012) for  $\Delta + \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ , we obtain

### Lemma:

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . Let  $r_0 := \frac{R_0}{4\sqrt{1+\Lambda_0^2}}$ . There are constants  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(d, \lambda_0, R_0, \Lambda_0) \in (0, r_0)$  and  $C_k = C_k(d, \lambda_0, R_0, \Lambda_0)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , such that for any  $\lambda_1 > 1$ ,  $Q \in \partial D$ , and  $x \in D_Q(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1)$  with  $\tilde{x} = 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left( X_{\tau_{D_Q}(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1)} \in D \right) \leq C_1 \lambda_1 \delta_D(x),$$

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left( X_{\tau_{D_Q}(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1)} \in U_Q(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1) \right) \geq C_2 \lambda_1 \delta_D(x).$$

## Boundary decay rate of $G_D(x, y)$ in $x$

- For each subdomain  $V$  with  $D \setminus \bar{V} \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$G_D(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_x [G_D(X_{\tau_V}, y); \tau_V < \tau_D] \quad \text{for every } x \in V \quad \text{and} \quad y \in D \setminus \bar{V}.$$

- By the test function argument in Chen-Kim-Song-Vondracek(2012) for  $\Delta + \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ , we obtain

### Lemma:

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . Let  $r_0 := \frac{R_0}{4\sqrt{1+\Lambda_0^2}}$ . There are constants  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(d, \lambda_0, R_0, \Lambda_0) \in (0, r_0)$  and  $C_k = C_k(d, \lambda_0, R_0, \Lambda_0)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , such that for any  $\lambda_1 > 1$ ,  $Q \in \partial D$ , and  $x \in D_Q(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1)$  with  $\tilde{x} = 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left( X_{\tau_{D_Q}(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1)} \in D \right) \leq C_1 \lambda_1 \delta_D(x),$$

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left( X_{\tau_{D_Q}(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1)} \in U_Q(\delta_0/\lambda_1, r_0/\lambda_1) \right) \geq C_2 \lambda_1 \delta_D(x).$$

## Two-sided estimates of $G_{\lambda D}(x, y)$ on small domains

### Theorem:

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . There exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, \ell, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D)) \geq 1$  and  $\theta = \theta(d, \lambda_0, R_0, \Lambda_0, \text{diam}(D))$  such that for any  $r \in (0, \theta]$ ,

$$C^{-1}G_{\lambda D}^{\Delta}(x, y) \leq G_{\lambda D}(x, y) \leq CG_{\lambda D}^{\Delta}(x, y) \quad \text{for } x \neq y \in \lambda D.$$

## Two sided estimates of $G_D(x, y)$ in bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain $D$

### Theorem:

Suppose  $D$  is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with characteristics  $(R_0, \Lambda_0)$ . There exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, \lambda_0, \ell, \Lambda_0, R_0, \text{diam}(D)) \geq 1$

$$C^{-1}G_D^\Delta(x, y) \leq G_D(x, y) \leq CG_D^\Delta(x, y) \quad \text{for } x \neq y \in D.$$

### Step 3: First and second derivatives of $G_D(x, y)$

- By taking derivative on both sides of Levi's freezing formula, we can obtain the upper bounded estimate of the first derivative  $|\nabla_x G_D(x, y)|$ .
- However, this method does not work well for the second order derivative estimates on  $G_D(x, y)$ . We use the second derivative estimate

$$|\nabla_x^2 G_B(x, y)| \leq c|x - y|^{-d}$$

on balls from Hwang-Kim(2020) and an integral representation of the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}$  on small balls to obtain the upper bound estimate of the second order derivative  $|D_x^2 G_D(x, y)|$ .

### Step 3: First and second derivatives of $G_D(x, y)$

- By taking derivative on both sides of Levi's freezing formula, we can obtain the upper bounded estimate of the first derivative  $|\nabla_x G_D(x, y)|$ .
- However, this method does not work well for the second order derivative estimates on  $G_D(x, y)$ . We use the second derivative estimate

$$|\nabla_x^2 G_B(x, y)| \leq c|x - y|^{-d}$$

on balls from Hwang-Kim(2020) and an integral representation of the Green function of  $\mathcal{L}$  on small balls to obtain the upper bound estimate of the second order derivative  $|D_x^2 G_D(x, y)|$ .



Thank you for your attention!